

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,339	07/03/2001	Paul T. Brescia	Paul T. Brescia 7000-078	
27820	27820 7590 05/17/2004		EXAM	NER
	& TERRANOVA, P.L.	NGUYEN, HUNG T		
P.O. BOX 1287 CARY, NC 27512		· - ·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2636	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/898,339	BRESCIA, PAUL T.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung T. Nguyen	2636				
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication appear						
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	, ,,	f the appeal.				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • ———					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	ıt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>1</u>	6. Whole				
O.□ Other: JEFFENY HOFSASS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600						
		$\mathbf{\circ}$				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The new limitation "plurality of communication devices" added to claims 1 & 17 raise new issues that would require further consideration and / or search .